


In re: Kim et al.
Serial No.: 10/807,000
Filed: March 23, 2004
Page 6

REMARKS

This Response to Restriction Requirement is responsive to the Office Action mailed May 27, 2005 (hereinafter "Office Action"). Restriction is requested between one of two groups. As alleged in the Office Action, Group I (Claims 1-22) is "drawn to a semiconductor device," while Group II (Claims 23-35) is "drawn to process of making a semiconductor device." Office Action, p. 2. Applicants elect Group I, Claims 1-22, without traverse. Claims 23-35 have been canceled without prejudice towards pursuing these claims in a divisional application.

Applicants respectfully submit that this application is in condition for substantive examination of Claims 1-22, which action is respectfully requested. Any questions that the Examiner may have regarding this correspondence can be directed to the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,



Robert M. Meeks
Registration No. 40,723
Attorney for Applicant(s)

USPTO Customer No. 20792
Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec
Post Office Box 37428
Raleigh, North Carolina 27627
Telephone: 919/854-1400
Facsimile: 919/854-1401

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on June 8, 2005.



Candi L. Riggs